

## MAS201 PROBLEM SHEET 2

### LECTURE 3

**Exercise 1.** Put

$$p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad p_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad p_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Describe geometrically which vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  and  $p_3$ . Give an example of a vector that cannot be described as such a linear combination.

**Solution:** Any linear combination of the vectors  $p_i$  has the form

$$\lambda_1 p_1 + \lambda_2 p_2 + \lambda_3 p_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 \\ 2\lambda_1 + 6\lambda_2 + 4\lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} = (\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, these linear combinations are just the multiples of the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , so they form the line with equation  $y = 2x$ . This means that any vector  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  with  $y \neq 2x$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $p_i$ . For example, the vector  $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of the vectors  $p_i$ .

**Exercise 2.** Put

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Give an example of a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$  that cannot be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_1, \dots, u_5$ .

**Solution:** Each of the vectors  $u_i$  has the first two components the same, so every linear combination of  $u_1, \dots, u_5$  will also have the first two components the same. Thus, if we choose any vector  $v$  whose first two components are not the same, then it will not be a linear combination of  $u_1, \dots, u_5$ . The simplest example is to take  $v = e_1 = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T$ .

**Exercise 3.** Consider the vectors

$$a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

You may assume the row-reduction

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Use this to give a formula expressing  $b$  as a linear combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_4$ .

**Solution:** The left hand matrix is  $[a_1|a_2|a_3|a_4|b]$ , so the row-reduction tells us that the equation  $\lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_4 a_4 = b$  is equivalent to the system of equations corresponding to the right hand matrix, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_3 &= 6 \\ \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &= 2 \\ \lambda_4 &= -5. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\lambda_3$  is independent so it can take arbitrary values. We can choose  $\lambda_3 = 0$ , giving  $\lambda_1 = 6$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2$  and  $\lambda_4 = -5$ . This means that we have

$$b = \sum_i \lambda_i a_i = 6a_1 + 2a_2 - 5a_4.$$

**Exercise 4.** Consider the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= [1 \ 2 \ -1 \ 0]^T & u_2 &= [3 \ -1 \ 4 \ -2]^T & u_3 &= [-1 \ 5 \ -6 \ 2]^T \\ v &= [5 \ -4 \ 9 \ -4]^T & w &= [4 \ -2 \ 3 \ 1]^T \end{aligned}$$

and the matrix

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & v & w \end{array} \right].$$

- Row-reduce  $A$ .
- Is  $v$  a linear combination of  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ ?
- Is  $w$  a linear combination of  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ ?

(Note that you do not need any additional row-reductions for parts (b) and (c). Remark 6.7 in the notes is relevant here.)

**Solution:**

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & v & w \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 & -4 & -2 \\ -1 & 4 & -6 & 9 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & -7 & 7 & -14 & -10 \\ 0 & 7 & -7 & 14 & 7 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & -7 & 7 & -14 & -10 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- As in Remark 6.7 we can delete the last column and we still have a valid row-reduction

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & v \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 5 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 & -4 \\ -1 & 4 & -6 & 9 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix on the right is in RREF with no pivot in the last column, which means (by Method 7.6) that  $v$  is indeed a linear combination of  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3$ . More specifically, we see that the equation  $\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \lambda_3 u_3 = v$  is equivalent to the system of equations corresponding to the above matrix, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_3 &= -1 \\ \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 &= 2 \\ 0 &= 0 \\ 0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution is  $\lambda_1 = -1 - 2\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2 + \lambda_3$  with  $\lambda_3$  arbitrary. We can take  $\lambda_3 = 0$  giving  $\lambda_1 = -1$  and  $\lambda_2 = 2$ , which means that  $v = -u_1 + 2u_2$ .

(b) As in Remark 6.7 we can delete the fourth column and we still have a valid row-reduction

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c|c|c|c} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & w \end{array} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 5 & -2 \\ -1 & 4 & -6 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we have a pivot in the last column, indicating that  $w$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$  and  $u_3$ .

**Exercise 5.** Let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and put  $v_1 = u_1 + u_2$  and  $v_2 = u_1 - u_2$ .

- Show that if a vector  $w$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , then it can also be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ .
- Give a formula for  $u_1$  in terms of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , and also a formula for  $u_2$  in terms of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .
- As a converse to (a), show that if a vector  $w$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , then it can also be expressed as a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ .

**Solution:**

- Suppose that  $w$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . This means that  $w = \lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2$  for some scalars  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . After substituting in the definition of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , we get

$$w = \lambda_1(u_1 + u_2) + \lambda_2(u_1 - u_2) = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)u_1 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)u_2.$$

Thus, if we define scalars  $\mu_i$  by  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$  and  $\mu_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ , we have  $w = \mu_1 u_1 + \mu_2 u_2$ . This expresses  $w$  as a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , as required.

- By adding the equations  $v_1 = u_1 + u_2$  and  $v_2 = u_1 - u_2$  we get  $2u_1 = v_1 + v_2$  and so  $u_1 = v_1/2 + v_2/2$ . Similarly, we have  $u_2 = v_1/2 - v_2/2$ .
- Suppose that  $w$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ . This means that  $w = \lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2$  for some scalars  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . After substituting in the equations from (b) we get

$$w = \lambda_1(v_1/2 + v_2/2) + \lambda_2(v_1/2 - v_2/2) = (\lambda_1/2 + \lambda_2/2)v_1 + (\lambda_1/2 - \lambda_2/2)v_2.$$

Thus, if we define scalars  $\mu_i$  by  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1/2 + \lambda_2/2$  and  $\mu_2 = \lambda_1/2 - \lambda_2/2$ , we have  $w = \mu_1 v_1 + \mu_2 v_2$ . This expresses  $w$  as a linear combination of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , as required.

**Exercise 6.** Decide whether the following lists are linearly dependent.

$$(a) \quad a_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$(b) \quad b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) \quad c_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution:**

- Here we have a list of 4 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and any such list is automatically linearly dependent. (In general, any linearly independent list in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has length at most  $n$ , so any list of length greater than  $n$  must be dependent.) As an example of a nontrivial linear relation, we have

$$4a_1 + 14a_2 - 8a_3 - 7a_4 = 0.$$

However, we do not need this in order to answer the question as asked.

- The list  $b_1, b_2, b_3$  is easily seen to be linearly independent. Indeed, any linear relation  $\lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 b_2 + \lambda_3 b_3 = 0$  can be expanded as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5\lambda_1 + 6\lambda_2 + 7\lambda_3 \\ 4\lambda_2 \\ 5\lambda_3 \\ 3\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By looking at the fourth entry we see that  $3\lambda_1 = 0$  so  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . Similarly, the second and third entries give  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ , so all the  $\lambda_i$  are zero, so our linear relation is the trivial one. As there is only the trivial linear relation, the list is independent.

We can reach the same conclusion by row-reducing the matrix  $[b_1|b_2|b_3]$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

At the end we have a pivot in every column, so the original list is independent.

(c) Here there is no obvious shortcut so we just row-reduce the matrix  $[c_1|c_2|c_3]$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Again, we have a pivot in every column, so the list  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  is independent.

**Exercise 7.** Consider the vectors  $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Give an example of a nonzero vector  $w$  such that the list  $u, w$  is independent and the list  $v, w$  is independent but the list  $u, v, w$  is dependent.

**Solution:** The simplest example is to put  $w = u + v = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . To see that this works, recall that a list of two nonzero vectors is independent iff the two vectors are not multiples of each other. As  $w$  is not a multiple of  $u$ , we see that the list  $u, w$  is independent. Similarly, as  $w$  is not a multiple of  $v$  we see that the list  $v, w$  is independent. However, we have a nontrivial linear relation  $u + v - w = 0$ , which proves that the list  $u, v, w$  is dependent.

#### LECTURE 4

**Exercise 8.** Find examples as follows. All your vectors should be nonzero, and all your lists should have length at least two and not contain the same vector twice.

- A list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is linearly dependent and does not span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- A list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is linearly dependent and spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- A list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is linearly independent and does not span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- A list of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that is linearly independent and spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Solution:** There are many possible correct solutions. Here is one.

- Put  $a_1 = e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $a_2 = -a_1$ . Then the list  $a_1, a_2$  is linearly dependent (because we have

a nontrivial linear relation  $a_1 + a_2 = 0$ ) and does not span (because  $e_2$  cannot be written as a linear combination of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ ).

- Put  $b_1 = e_1$  and  $b_2 = e_2$  and  $b_3 = e_3$  and  $b_4 = -e_3$ . The list  $b_1, \dots, b_4$  is linearly dependent, because we have the nontrivial linear relation  $0b_1 + 0b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = 0$ . It spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , because any vector  $v = [x \ y \ z]^T \in \mathbb{R}^3$  can be written as  $v = xb_1 + yb_2 + zb_3 + 0b_4$ , which expresses  $v$  as a linear combination of  $b_1, \dots, b_4$ .

- Put  $c_1 = e_1$  and  $c_2 = e_2$ . The list  $c_1, c_2$  is clearly linearly independent: a linear relation

$$\lambda_1 c_1 + \lambda_2 c_2 = 0 \text{ expands to give } \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0, \text{ so the linear relation is trivial.}$$

However,  $e_3$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , so the list  $c_1, c_2$  does not span.

- The list  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  is linearly independent and spans.

**Exercise 9.** Decide whether the following statements are true or false. Justify your answers, and give explicit counterexamples for any statements that are false.

- Every list of 4 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (b) Every list of 4 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is linearly independent.
- (c) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a list that spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a linearly independent list in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is at least as long as  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (d) There is a linearly independent list of length 5 in  $\mathbb{R}^6$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) This is false. For example, the list  $e_1, e_1, e_1, e_1$  is a list of four vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  that does not span.
- (b) This is also false, and in fact is the opposite of the truth: every list of 4 vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is linearly dependent, not linearly independent.
- (c) This is true. As  $\mathcal{A}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$  it must contain at least 4 vectors, and as  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  it must contain at most 4 vectors. Thus  $\text{length}(\mathcal{B}) \leq 4 \leq \text{length}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- (d) This is true. The list  $e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5$  is the most obvious example.

**Exercise 10.** Consider the list

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Does this span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

**Solution:** We use Method 9.7, which tells us to perform the following row-reduction:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_1^T \\ u_2^T \\ u_3^T \\ u_4^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 6 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 0 & 6 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the final matrix we do not have a pivot in every column, so the specified list does not span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

**Exercise 11.** Put  $a = [1 \ 3 \ 5 \ 7] \in \mathbb{R}^4$ .

- (a) Suppose we have vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_4 \in \mathbb{R}^4$  with  $a \cdot u_1 = a \cdot u_2 = a \cdot u_3 = a \cdot u_4 = 0$ . Prove that the list  $u_1, \dots, u_4$  does not span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .
- (b) Give an example of a list  $v_1, \dots, v_4$  that satisfies  $a \cdot v_1 = a \cdot v_2 = a \cdot v_3 = a \cdot v_4 = 1$  and also spans  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .
- (c) Give an example of a list  $w_1, \dots, w_4$  that satisfies  $a \cdot w_1 = a \cdot w_2 = a \cdot w_3 = a \cdot w_4 = 1$  and does not span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) If  $x$  is a linear combination of the vectors  $u_i$ , we have  $x = \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_4 u_4$  for some scalars  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$ , so

$$a \cdot x = a \cdot (\lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_4 u_4) = \lambda_1 (a \cdot u_1) + \dots + \lambda_4 (a \cdot u_4),$$

but  $a \cdot u_1 = a \cdot u_2 = a \cdot u_3 = a \cdot u_4 = 0$  so  $a \cdot x = 0$ . On the other hand, we have  $a \cdot e_1 = 1 \neq 0$ , so  $e_1$  cannot be a linear combination of the vectors  $u_i$ . This means that the  $u_i$  do not span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

- (b) The obvious example is

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1/5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1/7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To see that this spans, note that an arbitrary vector  $x = [a \ b \ c \ d]^T$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  can be expressed as

$$x = av_1 + 3bv_2 + 5cv_3 + 7dv_4,$$

which is a linear combination of the list  $v_1, \dots, v_4$ .

- (c) The most obvious solution is to take  $w_1 = w_2 = w_3 = w_4 = e_1$ . If we prefer to avoid repetitions, we can instead use

$$w_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad w_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad w_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad w_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that any linear combination of  $w_1, \dots, w_4$  has zeros in the third and fourth places. In particular, the standard vector  $e_4$  is not a linear combination of the list  $w_1, \dots, w_4$ , so the list does not span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

**Exercise 12.** The vectors

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad u_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ , because an arbitrary vector  $x = [a \ b \ c \ d]^T$  can be expressed as a linear combination of  $u_i$  by the formula

$$x = (a - b)u_1 + bu_2 + cu_6 + (d - c)u_7,$$

or alternatively by the formula

$$x = -bu_1 + bu_2 - du_3 + (a + d)u_4 - au_5 + cu_6 - cu_7.$$

- (a) Check the above formulae.  
 (b) Give a similar explicit formula to prove that the following vectors span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ :

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad v_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (c) Use the row-reduction method to show again that the vectors  $v_i$  span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) For the first formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (a - b)u_1 + bu_2 + cu_6 + (d - c)u_7 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a - b \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ d - c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -bu_1 + bu_2 - du_3 + (a + d)u_4 - au_5 + cu_6 - cu_7 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -d \\ -d \\ -d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a + d \\ a + d \\ a + d \\ a + d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -a \\ -a \\ -a \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ c \\ c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) One possible formula is as follows: if  $x = [a \ b \ c \ d]^T$ , then

$$x = -dv_1 - cv_2 + (a + b + c + d)v_3 - bv_4 - av_5.$$

This can be found as follows: we note that

$$e_1 = v_3 - v_5 \quad e_2 = v_3 - v_4 \quad e_3 = v_3 - v_2 \quad e_4 = v_3 - v_1,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 + de_4 \\ &= a(v_3 - v_5) + b(v_3 - v_4) + c(v_3 - v_2) + d(v_3 - v_1) \\ &= -dv_1 - cv_2 + (a + b + c + d)v_3 - bv_4 - av_5. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) The general method for these kinds of questions is to construct a matrix  $A$  whose rows are the vectors  $v_i^T$ , and then row-reduce it:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \\
 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} &\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The final matrix has a pivot in every column, so the vectors  $v_i$  span  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .