# Rings, Modules and Linear Algebra — Exam solutions

#### (1)(i) [similar examples seen]

(a) The characteristic polynomial of A is the determinant of the matrix on the left below. The matrix on the right is obtained by adding t-1 times the second row to the first row and t-1 times the fourth row to the third row, so it has the same determinant.

$$\begin{pmatrix} t-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & t-1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & t-1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & t-1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 - 2t & -t & -t \\ -1 & t-1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t^2 - 2t \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & t-1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We now expand the determinant repeatedly along the first column to get

$$\begin{vmatrix} t^2 - 2t & -t & -t \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 - 2t \\ 0 & -1 & t - 1 \end{vmatrix} = (t^2 - 2t) \begin{vmatrix} 0 & t^2 - 2t \\ -1 & t - 1 \end{vmatrix} = (t^2 - 2t)^2 = t^2(t - 2)^2.$$
[4]

(b) The reduced echelon form of A is clearly given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so rank(A) = 2 [2]. Below we display the row-reduction of A - 2I:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This makes it clear that  $\operatorname{rank}(A - 2I) = 3$  [2].

- (c) By looking at the characteristic polynomial, we see that  $M_A$  is a direct sum of modules of the form B(0,k) or B(2,k), with  $1 \le k \le 2$ . Each B(0,k)contributes  $t^k$  to the characteristic polynomial, and each B(2,k) contributes  $(t-2)^k$ . The number of blocks of eigenvalue 0 is  $4 - \operatorname{rank}(A) = 2$ , and the number of blocks with eigenvalue 1 is  $4 - \operatorname{rank}(A - 2I) = 1$ . The only possibility is to have  $M_A = B(0, 1) \oplus B(0, 1) \oplus B(2, 2)$  [4].
- (ii) [similar examples seen]We first observe that  $1296 = 2^4 3^4$ , so M must be a direct sum of groups of the form  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^j}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_{3^k}$ , with  $1 \leq j,k \leq 4$  [2]. As  $18m = 2^1 3^2 m = 0$  for all  $m \in M$ , we must in fact have j = 1 and  $1 \le k \le 2$ , so the possible building blocks are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  [2]. Thus, the 2-primary part must be  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4$ , and the 3-primary part could be  $(\mathbb{Z}_9)^2$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9$  or  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^4$ . Thus, there are thus 3 possibilities for M:

  - (ℤ<sub>2</sub>)<sup>4</sup> ⊕ (ℤ<sub>9</sub>)<sup>2</sup> [1]
     (ℤ<sub>2</sub>)<sup>4</sup> ⊕ (ℤ<sub>3</sub>)<sup>2</sup> ⊕ ℤ<sub>9</sub> [1]
  - $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_3)^4$  [1]
- (iii) [The case  $\lambda = 1$  was on a problem sheet] I claim that

$$A^n = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & n\lambda^{n-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^n \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $n \ge 0$ . Indeed, this is clear when n = 0, and if it holds for n = k we have

$$A^{k+1} = AA^{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{k} & k\lambda^{k-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{k+1} & (k+1)\lambda^{k} \\ 0 & \lambda^{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

so it also holds for n = k + 1. It therefore holds for all k by induction [3]. It follows that

$$f(A) = \sum_{i} a_{i} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{i} & i\lambda^{i-1} \\ 0 & \lambda^{i} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i} a_{i}\lambda^{i} & \sum_{i} ia_{i}\lambda^{i-1} \\ 0 & \sum_{i} a_{i}\lambda^{i} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} f(\lambda) & f'(\lambda) \\ 0 & f(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} [\mathbf{3}].$$

# (2) (i) [similar examples seen]

We can simplify B by row and column operations as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 200 & 100 & 108 \\ 36 & 0 & 72 \\ 0 & 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 100 & 8 \\ 36 & 0 & 72 \\ 0 & 0 & 36 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 100 & 8 \\ 36 & -900 & 0 \\ 0 & -400 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{3} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 900 & 0 \\ 36 & -900 & 0 \\ 0 & -400 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 900 & 0 \\ 36 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{5} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 36 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 900 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(In step 1 we subtracted  $C_2$  from  $C_3$ , and  $2C_2$  from  $C_1$ . In step 2 we subtracted  $9R_1$  from  $R_2$ , and  $4R_1$  from  $R_3$ . In step 3 we subtracted  $2R_3$  from  $R_1$ , and in step 4 we added  $25C_1 + 100C_3$  to  $C_2$ . Finally, in step 5 we permuted the rows and columns in an obvious way.) [6] [ 3 marks will be awarded for a broadly correct method, with one mark deducted from this if the answer is not in normal form. The remaining 3 marks are for accuracy; one mark will be deducted for each error.]

This shows that  $\mathbb{Z}^3/N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{36} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{900}$  [2].

(ii) **[similar examples seen]**We can apply column operations as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -ab & b & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ abc & -bc & c & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -abcd & bcd & -cd & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ bcd & -cd & d & -abcd \end{pmatrix} [3]$$

We then apply row operations as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ bcd & -cd & d & -abcd \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -abcd \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & abcd \end{pmatrix}. [2]$$

We conclude that  $R^4/M \simeq R/1 \oplus R/1 \oplus R/1 \oplus R/abcd = R/abcd$ , so R is cyclic and we can take x = abcd. [3]

(iii) [ There is one similar example in the lecture notes] Put  $w_1 = u_1 - u_2 = (1, 1, 0)$  and  $w_2 = u_2 - u_3 = (0, 2, 2)$  and  $w_3 = u_3 = (0, 0, 4)$  [3]. These give a new basis for L. If we put

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= 1 & v_1 &= (1, 1, 0) \\ d_2 &= 2 & v_2 &= (0, 1, 1) \\ d_3 &= 4 & v_3 &= (0, 0, 1) \\ \mathbf{3} \end{aligned}$$

then clearly  $w_i = d_i v_i$  and  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^3$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  [3].

### (3) (i) [bookwork]

As M is finitely generated, there is a list  $m_1, \ldots, m_d$  of elements of M such that an arbitrary element  $m \in M$  can be written in the form  $u_1m_1 + \ldots + u_dm_d$  [1]. Define  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to M$  by  $\phi(u_1, \ldots, u_d) = u_1m_1 + \ldots + u_dm_d$ ; this is clearly a surjective homomorphism [1]. Put  $L = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \phi(u) \in N\}$  [1]. I claim that this is a submodule of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Indeed, if  $u, v \in L$  then  $\phi(u), \phi(v) \in N$  so  $\phi(u+v) = \phi(u) + \phi(v) \in$ N so  $u+v \in L$ . Similarly, if  $u \in L$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\phi(u) \in N$  so  $\phi(au) = a\phi(u) \in N$ so  $au \in L$ , so L is a submodule as claimed [1]. Submodules of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are finite free modules, so we can choose a basis  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$  for L [1]. Put  $n_i = \phi(p_i)$  [1]; as  $p_i \in L$  we have  $n_i \in N$  [1]. I claim that the elements  $n_1, \ldots, n_r$  generate N. Indeed, suppose  $n \in N$ . Then  $n \in M$  and the homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to M$  is surjective so we have  $n = \phi(u)$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  [1]. As  $\phi(u) = n \in N$  we see that  $u \in L$  [1], so u can be written in the form  $u = v_1p_1 + \ldots + v_rp_r$  for some  $v_1, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R}$  [1]. It follows that

$$n = \phi(u) = v_1 \phi(p_1) + \ldots + v_r \phi(p_r) = v_1 n_1 + \ldots + v_r n_r. [1]$$

This shows that the elements  $n_1, \ldots, n_r$  generate N as claimed, so N is finitely generated. [1]

(ii) [unseen]

(a) For 
$$v \in M_B$$
 we have  $(x^3 - 27)v = (B^3 - 27I)v$ , but  

$$B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 \\ 9 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 27 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 27 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 27 \end{pmatrix} = 27I$$

so  $(x^3 - 27)v = 0$  as claimed [2]. Similarly, we have

$$A^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = 3A,$$

so for  $u \in M_A$  we have  $x(x-3)u = (A^2 - 3A)u = 0$  [1]. We can now multiply by (x+3) to deduce that  $(x^3 - 9x)u = (x+3)x(x-3)u = 0$ . [1]

- (b) Now consider a homomorphism γ: M<sub>A</sub> → M<sub>B</sub>, given by γ(u) = Cu say. As γ(u) ∈ M<sub>B</sub> we have (x<sup>3</sup> 27)γ(u) = 0 [1]. We can also apply γ to the relation (x<sup>3</sup> 9x)u = 0 to see that (x<sup>3</sup> 9x)γ(u) = 0 [2]. Subtracting these two equations gives (9x 27)γ(u) = 0, and we can divide by 9 to deduce that (x 3)γ(u) = 0 [2].
- (c) Suppose we have  $v = (x, y, z) \in im(\gamma)$ . The  $v = \gamma(u)$  for some u, so  $(x-3)v = (x-3)\gamma(u) = 0$  [2]. However,  $v \in M_B$  so xv = Bv, so

$$(x-3)v = (B-3I)v = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 0 & 3\\ 3 & -3 & 0\\ 0 & 3 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ y\\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3(z-x)\\ 3(x-y)\\ 3(y-z) \end{pmatrix} [1].$$

As this is zero, we must have x = y = z and so  $v = (x, x, x) \in V$  [1].

4

(4) (i) **[bookwork]** As a and b are coprime, there exist elements  $x, y \in R$  such that xa+yb = 1 [1]. Consider a homomorphism  $\alpha \colon M \to N$ . For each  $m \in M$  we have am = 0 and so  $a\alpha(m) = \alpha(am) = 0$  [1]. On the other hand, we also have  $\alpha(m) \in N$  and  $bN = \{0\}$  so  $b\alpha(m) = 0$  [2]. It follows that

$$\alpha(m) = 1.\alpha(m) = (xa + yb)\alpha(m)[\mathbf{1}] = x(a\alpha(m)) + y(b\alpha(m)) = 0 + 0 = 0[\mathbf{1}],$$

so  $\alpha = 0$  as required.

- (ii) [unseen]
  - (a) Put a = |L| and b = |M/N|. By Lagrange's theorem, we have ax = 0 for all  $x \in L$  and by = 0 for all  $y \in M/N$ . It follows from the above that any homomorphism from L to M/N is zero. [2]
  - (b) Now consider a homomorphism  $\alpha: L \to M$ . By the above, we must have  $\pi \alpha = 0: L \to M/N$  [2]. This means that for all  $x \in L$  we have  $\pi(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x) + N = 0 + N$ , so  $\alpha(x) \in N$ . It follows that  $\alpha(L) \subseteq N$  as claimed. [2]
- (iii) (a) [similar examples seen] The function f(t) = t<sup>3</sup> − t gives an element of U, but D.(t<sup>3</sup> − t) = 3t<sup>2</sup> − 1 takes the value −1 at t = 0, so it does not lie in U. Thus, U is not closed under multiplication by D, so it is not an ℝ[D]-submodule. [3]
  - (b) [This was on a probem sheet] I claim that  $W_d$  is generated by the element  $t^d$ . Indeed, we have

$$D^{k}t^{d} = d(d-1)\dots(d-k+1)t^{d-k} = \frac{d!}{(d-k)!}t^{d-k}[\mathbf{2}]$$

Thus, for any element  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i t^i \in W_d$  we can put  $p(D) = \sum_i (d!/i!) a_i D^{d-i}$ and we find that  $p(D)t^d = f(t)$ , so  $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}[D].t^d$  as required [2].

(c) [similar examples seen]For  $g(t) = p \sin(t) + q \cos(t) \in V$ , we have

$$g'(t) = p\cos(t) - q\cos(t)$$
$$g''(t) = -p\sin(t) - q\cos(t) = -g(t)$$

so  $(D^2 + 1)g(t) = 0$  [2]. On the other hand, if  $f(t) \in W_d$  then the (d + 1)'st derivative of f(t) is zero, so  $D^{d+1} f(t) = 0$  [3]. As  $D^{d+1}$  and  $D^2 + 1$  are coprime, we deduce that the only homomorphism from  $W_d$  to V is zero. [1]

## (5) (i) [bookwork]

Suppose that a and b are coprime. We can then find  $x, y \in R$  with xa + yb = 1 [1]. Given an element  $(v + Ra, w + Rb) \in R/a \times R/b$ , put  $t = ybv + xaw \in R$  [2]. We find that

$$t = (1 - xa)v + xaw = v + (xw - xv)a = v \pmod{a}$$
  
$$t = ybv + (1 - yb)w = w + (yv - yw)b = w \pmod{b}$$
  
(mod b)[1]

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\phi(t + Rab) = (u + Ra, u + Rb) = (v + Ra, w + Rb).$$

This shows that  $\phi$  is surjective. [1]

Now suppose we have an element  $u + Rab \in R/ab$  with  $\phi(u + Rab) = (u + Ra, u + Rb) = (0 + Ra, 0 + Rb)$ . This means that  $u \in Ra$  and  $u \in Rb$ , so u = pa = qb for some  $p, q \in R$  [1]. It follows that

$$u = (xa + yb)u = xau + ybu = xaqb + ybpa = (xq + yp)ab,$$

so  $u \in Rab$  and u + Rab = 0 + Rab [2]. This shows that  $\phi$  is injective, and thus an isomorphism [1].

- (ii) **[unseen]**Conversely, suppose that  $\phi$  is surjective, so we can find an element  $u \in R$  with  $\phi(u + Rab) = (1 + Ra, 0 + Rb)$  [2]. This means that  $u = 1 \pmod{a}$  and  $u = 0 \pmod{b}$ , so u = 1 + xa and u = yb for some  $x, y \in R$  [2]. As 1 + xa = yb we have (-x)a + yb = 1, showing that a and b are coprime [2]
- (iii) **[bookwork]**We can define a ring homomorphism  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}[x] \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $\alpha(f) = f(\lambda)$  [1]. For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we can regard z as a constant polynomial and then we have  $\alpha(z) = z$ ; this shows that  $\alpha$  is surjective [1]. We have  $\alpha(f) = 0$  iff  $f(\lambda) = 0$  iff f(x) is divisible by  $x - \lambda$ , so ker $(\alpha) = \mathbb{C}[x](x - \lambda)$  [1]. The first isomorphism theorem for rings thus gives an isomorphism  $\overline{\alpha} : \mathbb{C}[x]/(x - \lambda) = \mathbb{C}[x]/\ker(al) \to \operatorname{im}(\alpha) = \mathbb{C}$  [1].
- (iv) [The isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x-\lambda)(x-\mu) \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$  will be discussed in lectures] Note that if  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are complex numbers with  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , then  $(x-\lambda) - (x-\mu) = \lambda - \mu$ is invertible in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ , so  $x - \lambda$  and  $x - \mu$  are coprime [1]. Thus, if we choose any four distinct [1]complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_4$  and put  $f(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)$  [1]then the Chinese Remainder Theorem gives

$$\mathbb{C}[x]/f(x) \simeq \prod_{i} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-\lambda_i) \simeq \prod_{i} \mathbb{C}[x]/(x-\lambda_i)$$

as required [2].

6