Consequences of the Chromatic Splitting Conjecture

Neil Strickland

July 31, 2023

The Chromatic Splitting Conjecture

The CSC (due to Hopkins) is about the structure of $\alpha_n(S) = L_{n-1}L_{\kappa(n)}S$.

Technical note: throughout this talk, *S* denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like MU refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{K(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{\mathcal{K}(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

The Chromatic Splitting Conjecture

The CSC (due to Hopkins) is about the structure of $\alpha_n(S) = L_{n-1}L_{\mathcal{K}(n)}S$.

Technical note: throughout this talk, *S* denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like MU refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{K(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{\mathcal{K}(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

The Chromatic Splitting Conjecture

The CSC (due to Hopkins) is about the structure of $\alpha_n(S) = L_{n-1}L_{\mathcal{K}(n)}S$.

Technical note: throughout this talk, S denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like *MU* refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{K(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{split}$$

Technical note: throughout this talk, S denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like *MU* refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_i n_i}^{\sum_i d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{K(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

Technical note: throughout this talk, S denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like *MU* refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_i n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{K(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

Technical note: throughout this talk, S denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like *MU* refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height i and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{K(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

Technical note: throughout this talk, *S* denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like MU refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height *i* and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{\mathcal{K}(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

Technical note: throughout this talk, S denotes the *p*-complete sphere spectrum, and we work in the category of *S*-modules. Symbols like *MU* refer to the *p*-completed versions.

We put $S_n^d = L_n S^d$ and $\hat{S}_n^d = L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} S^d$. Given a ring spectrum R and variables z_i of odd degree d_i and chromatic height n_i , we define

$$E_R[z_1,\ldots,z_m] = R \land \bigwedge_i (S \lor S_{n_i}^{d_i}) = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{1,\ldots,m\}} S_{\min_I n_i}^{\sum_I d_i}$$

To expand this out, remember that $S_n^i \wedge S_m^j \simeq S_{\min(n,m)}^{i+j}$.

We introduce variables x_{in} for $0 \le i < n$ of height *i* and degree 1 - 2(n - i). The CSC says that there are maps $x_{in}: S_i^{1-2(n-i)} \to \alpha_n(S)$ inducing

$$E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n},\ldots,x_{n-1,n}]\simeq\alpha_n(S).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_3(S) &= L_2 L_{K(3)} S \simeq S_2 \wedge (S \vee S_0^{-5}) \wedge (S \vee S_1^{-3}) \wedge (S \vee S_2^{-1}) \\ &\simeq S_2 \vee S_2^{-1} \vee S_1^{-3} \vee S_1^{-4} \vee S_0^{-5} \vee S_0^{-6} \vee S_0^{-8} \vee S_0^{-9}. \end{aligned}$$

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.

- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

- Beaudry has proved that the CSC is false for n = p = 2.
- It may still be true when p is large relative to n.
- When p is large the question is in principle purely algebraic, by work starting with Franke, later versions e.g. by Patchkoria-Pstragowski.
- We could also take an ultraproduct over primes, following Barthel-Schlank-Stapleton.
- This talk will investigate a complex set of consequences that would follow from the CSC. These appear to be internally consistent, although there are many ways in which that could fail. This makes the CSC more interesting and more plausible.
- Conjecture: the resulting algebraic and combinatorial patterns are indirectly relevant, even if CSC fails.

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC. Also, $x_{n-1,n}$: $S_{n-1}^{-1} \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}S$ should come from the known element $C : S^{-1} \rightarrow L_{n-1}S$ (defined using key(det: $\Gamma \rightarrow Z^{\times}$))

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa(n) L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa_{K(n)} L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$K(n) L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{\mathcal{K}(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{\mathcal{K}(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{K}(n) L_{\mathcal{K}(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{\mathcal{K}(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$K_{K(n)} L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

$$H^*(\Gamma_n; \mathbb{Q} \otimes W\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}[x_{in} \mid 0 \le i < n] \qquad \qquad x_{in} \in H^{2(n-i)-1}.$$

These elements x_{in} should be related via the K(n)-based Adams spectral sequence to the elements x_{in} in the CSC.

Also, $x_{n-1,n}: S_{n-1}^{-1} \to L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}S$ should come from the known element $\zeta_n: S^{-1} \to L_{K(n)}S$ (defined using ker(det: $\Gamma_n \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$)).

I

$$L_n L_m = L_{\min(n,m)}$$

$$L_{K(n)} L_m = \begin{cases} L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n \le m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

$$L_n L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(m)} & \text{if } n \ge m \end{cases}$$

$$K_{K(n)} L_{K(m)} = \begin{cases} ? & \text{if } n < m \\ L_{K(n)} & \text{if } n = m \\ 0 & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of $C_1 = \{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}$ under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering $\hat{S}_0 = S_0$).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.
- $S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$
- For n < m we have $S_n \land \widehat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\widehat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$.
- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.

- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = S_n \wedge L_{m-1} \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^*_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \widehat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\widehat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min\{I,n\}}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^*_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of $C_1 = \{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}$ under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering $\hat{S}_0 = S_0$).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \wedge S_m = S_n \wedge L_{m-1}S_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S_{\min(I,n)}^{\bullet}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu \colon \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)} \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \widehat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\widehat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min\{I,n\}}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \widehat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\widehat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min\{I, n\}}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^*_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of $C_1 = \{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}$ under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering $\hat{S}_0 = S_0$).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.
- $\blacktriangleright S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \hat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^*_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \operatorname{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$\blacktriangleright S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \widehat{S}_m = \widehat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \hat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I, n)}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min\{I, n\}}$ simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_{i} and some \hat{S}_{i} with i < n
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu \colon \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \widehat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\widehat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min\{I, n\}}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\blacktriangleright \ \widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \mathrm{fib}(\mu \colon \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-, -) and $L_{E(n)}$ and $L_{K(n)}$, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \hat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I, n)}$.

For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.

$$\widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \operatorname{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$$

Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- ▶ Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \hat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- ▶ \hat{S}_n is a ring so $\hat{S}_n \wedge \hat{S}_n = \hat{S}_n \vee \text{fib}(\mu: \hat{S}_n \wedge \hat{S}_n \to \hat{S}_n)$.
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- Fix p and $N \ge 0$, and assume CSC holds for $n \le N$.
- Let C be the closure of C₁ = {S₀, S₁,..., S_N, Ŝ₁,..., Ŝ_N} under (de)suspension and finite coproducts (remembering Ŝ₀ = S₀).
- Claim: C is closed under smash products and F(-,-) and L_{E(n)} and L_{K(n)}, so is a closed symmetric monoidal category.

$$S_n \wedge S_m = L_{E(n)}S_m = L_{E(m)}S_n = S_{\min(n,m)}; \text{ also } S_n \wedge \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_m \text{ for } n \ge m.$$

For
$$n < m$$
 we have $S_n \land \hat{S}_m = S_n \land L_{m-1}\hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, m-1\}} S^{\bullet}_{\min(I, n)}$.

- For n < m we have $\hat{S}_n \land \hat{S}_m = \hat{S}_n \land S_n \land \hat{S}_m = \bigvee_{I \subseteq \{0,...,m-1\}} \hat{S}_n \land S^{\bullet}_{\min(I,n)}$; simplified further as above to give some \hat{S}_n and some S_i with i < n.
- $\widehat{S}_n \text{ is a ring so } \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n = \widehat{S}_n \vee \operatorname{fib}(\mu : \widehat{S}_n \wedge \widehat{S}_n \to \widehat{S}_n).$
- Apply $\hat{S}_n \wedge (-)$ to the chromatic fracture square



- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^{*}.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Put } R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?
For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.

- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S^{*}_N.
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff $F(X, S_N) \land X \to F(X, X)$ is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^* .
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Put } R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^{*}.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Put } R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S^{*}_N.
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff $F(X, S_N) \land X \to F(X, X)$ is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^* .
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Put } R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S^{*}_N.
- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Put } R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N.
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \dots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \dots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff $F(X, S_N) \land X \to F(X, X)$ is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^{\bullet} .
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \widehat{S}_1, \ldots, \widehat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N.
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \ldots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff $F(X, S_N) \land X \to F(X, X)$ is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N^{\bullet} .
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \ldots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

- For $n \ge m$ we have $F(S_n, S_m) = S_m$ and $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = \hat{S}_m$.
- For n < m both S_n and \hat{S}_n are K(m)-acyclic, so $F(S_n, \hat{S}_m) = F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m) = 0.$
- For n < m, apply $F(S_n, -)$ to the chromatic fracture square for S_m , giving $F(S_n, S_m) = \bigvee_{I \neq \emptyset} F(S_n, S_{\min(I,m-1)}^{\bullet-1})$; then repeat recursively.
- Similar methods give $F(\hat{S}_n, S_m)$ and $F(\hat{S}_n, \hat{S}_m)$.
- Recall X is strongly dualisable iff F(X, S_N) ∧ X → F(X, X) is iso. This only holds if X is a wedge of copies of S_N[•].
- Put $R = \pi_0(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbb{N}[s^{\pm 1}]\{S_0, \ldots, S_N, \hat{S}_1, \ldots, \hat{S}_N\}.$
- By spelling out the combinatorics, we get a product on R and a map $F: R \times R \rightarrow R$.
- Fact: the product is commutative and associative and satisfies F(x, F(y, z)) = F(xy, z), as predicted by CSC.
- This is all about isomorphism classes; what about morphisms?

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{*-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} i \\ -j \end{bmatrix}} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} \eta & v \end{bmatrix}} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{*}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l \colon S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l \colon \widehat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S_* \xrightarrow{u_i} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y,Z) \wedge F(X,Y) \rightarrow F(X,Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S_*^* and not \hat{S}_*^* .
- Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} i\\ -j \end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \eta & v \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \widehat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S_* \xrightarrow{u_l} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S_*^* and not \hat{S}_*^* .
- Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} i\\ -j \end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \eta & v \end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}$

Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{u_i} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.

Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S_*^* and not \hat{S}_*^* .

Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps *u* and *v* have components $u_l: S^{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}$.

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S_* \xrightarrow{u_i} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S^* and not \hat{S}^* .
- Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps *u* and *v* have components $u_l: S^{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}$.

Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{u_l} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.

Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y,Z) \wedge F(X,Y) \rightarrow F(X,Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S^* and not \hat{S}^* .

Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}$.

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S_* \xrightarrow{u_i} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y,Z) \wedge F(X,Y) \rightarrow F(X,Z)$.

This is done when *X*, *Y*, *Z* involve only *S*, and not *S*.

Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_i and v_i (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}$.

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S_* \xrightarrow{u_i} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S^{\bullet} and not \hat{S}^{\bullet} .

Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{u_l} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} and not $\hat{S}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$.
- Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal).

Many smash products and composites are zero.

The chromatic fracture square plus CSC gives a cofibration

$$\bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet-1} \xrightarrow{u} S_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}i\\-j\end{smallmatrix}\right]} S_{n-1} \vee \widehat{S}_n \xrightarrow{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\eta & v\end{smallmatrix}\right]} \bigvee_{I} S_{\min(I,n-1)}^{\bullet}$$

The maps u and v have components $u_l: S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} \to S_n$ and $v_l: \hat{S}_n \to S^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$

- Additional conjecture: any composite $S_m \xrightarrow{i} S^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{u_l} S_n$ with $m \ge n$ is zero. This is true but not obvious when N = 1.
- Assuming this: we hope to determine the composition maps $F(Y, Z) \land F(X, Y) \rightarrow F(X, Z)$. This is done when X, Y, Z involve only S^{\bullet}_{\bullet} and not $\hat{S}^{\bullet}_{\bullet}$.
- Assuming this: we have a fully algebraic model for the wide subcategory with morphisms generated by $i: S_n \to S_{n-1}$ and $j: S_n \to \hat{S}_n$ and u_l and v_l (which is again closed symmetric monoidal). Many smash products and composites are zero.



 $\begin{aligned} &\alpha_n(S) = E_{S_{n-1}}[x_{0n}, \dots, x_{n-1,n}] \\ &x_{in} \text{ has height } i, \text{ target } n \\ &\text{and dimension } 1 - 2(n-i) \end{aligned}$



$$\alpha_4(S) = E_{S_3}[x_{04}, x_{14}, x_{24}, x_{34}]$$







Put $\alpha_{469} = \alpha_4 \circ \alpha_6 \circ \alpha_9$ = $L_3 L_{K(4)} L_{K(6)} L_{K(9)}$ $\alpha_4(S)$



 $\alpha_{469}(S)$ is exterior over S_3 on 9 generators indicated in black. Circles are shadowed generators: present but equal to zero.



$$\begin{split} &\alpha_{1\cdots9} = L_{K(0)} L_{K(1)} \cdots L_{K(9)} \\ &\alpha_{1\cdots9}(S) \text{ is exterior over } S_0 \text{ on} \\ &x_{01}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{89} \text{ (all degree } -1) \end{split}$$



Put $\phi_{469} = L_{K(4)} \circ \alpha_6 \circ \alpha_9$ = $L_{K(4)} L_{K(6)} L_{K(9)}$

$$\alpha_{69}(S) \to \phi_{469}(S) \leftarrow \hat{S}_4$$

 $\phi_{469}(S)$ is exterior over \hat{S}_4 on 5 generators marked in black. Circles are shadowed generators: present but equal to zero.

All summands in this exterior algebra are just \hat{S}_4^d .





Homotopy cartesian means:

- L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- \blacktriangleright L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- \blacktriangleright L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

Rules for total fibres:

tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))

tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- \blacktriangleright L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))



Homotopy cartesian means:

- \blacktriangleright L₂ maps by an equivalence to the holim of the rest of the diagram; or
- The total fibre of the cube is zero.

- tfib(cube) = fib(tfib(face) → tfib(opposite face))
- tfib(square) = fib(fib(edge) → fib(opposite edge))

The chromatic fracture cube gives a spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.

Spectral sequence of this type deserve further study.
Aside on spectral sequences

The chromatic fracture cube gives a spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.



For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0$$

$$E_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.

$$E_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f^{l} + uf$.

$$\mathsf{E}_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$. The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.

$$E_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$.

This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f^{l} + uf$.

$$\mathsf{E}_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.

$$\mathsf{E}_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$.

The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.

$$\mathsf{E}_{pq}^{1} = \prod_{|A|=p} \pi_{q}(\phi_{A}(X)) \Longrightarrow 0,$$

where A runs over subsets of $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\phi_{\emptyset} = L_2$.

For a formally similar situation, take a space $X = U_0 \cup U_1 \cup U_2$, and put $U_{02} = U_0 \cap U_2$ etc. There is a Mayer-Vietoris spectral sequence

$$E_0^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} C^q(U_A), \quad E_1^{pq} = \prod_{|A|=p} H^q(U_A) \Longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exterior algebra $E = E[e_0, e_1, e_2]$ with basis $\{e_A \mid A \subseteq \{0, 1, 2\}\}$. We can identify E_0^{**} with $\bigoplus_A C^*(U_A).e_A$, which is a quotient of $C^*(X) \otimes E$. This is a bicomplex, using the ordinary cosimplicial differential and multiplication by the element $u = e_0 + e_1 + e_2$. The combined differential does not satisfy the Leibniz rule, but behaves like an

operator $f \mapsto f' + uf$.



Apply the fracture cube to \hat{S}_3 to get a homotopy cartesian cube as above. Is this consistent with the Chromatic Splitting Conjecture?



Notation: e.g. $01.13 = x_{01}x_{13}$; also $\emptyset = 1$. This diagram should be homotopy cartesian.



This subdiagram consists of two copies of the fracture cube for S_2 and so is homotopy cartesian.



We can remove that subdiagram without changing the total fibre.



This subdiagram consists of two copies of the fracture square for S_1 and so is homotopy cartesian.



We can remove that subdiagram without changing the total fibre.



This subdiagram consists of four copies of the fracture interval for S_0 and so is homotopy cartesian.



After removing that subdiagram we see that the original diagram was homotopy cartesian, as required.



Similarly, CSC implies that the chromatic fracture hypercube for $\alpha_A(S) = L_{n-1}(\phi_A(S))$ is a sum of the hypercubes for various S_m^d .



According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.

- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.
- ▶ $\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S_2 has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in} . There is a compatible filtration of S_2 .
- ▶ $\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.
- ▶ $\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S_2 has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in} . There is a compatible filtration of S_2 .
- ▶ $\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$
- In general, the CSC implies that S_n has a finite decreasing filtration where the associated graded is a wedge of K(m)-local spheres which can be described combinatorially. Multiplicative properties are unclear.



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.
- ▶ $\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.

•
$$\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$$
; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.

•
$$\operatorname{gr}_0(S_2) = \widehat{S}_2$$
; $\operatorname{gr}_1(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_1^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_2(S_2) = \widehat{S}_0^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_0^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.

•
$$\operatorname{gr}_{0}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{2}$$
; $\operatorname{gr}_{1}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{0}^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_{1}^{-2}$; $\operatorname{gr}_{2}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{0}^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_{0}^{-4}$



- According to CSC we should have a homotopy cartesian cube as above.
- Dotted arrows are defined using CSC. Solid arrows exist unconditionally.
- Everything but S₂ has a decreasing filtration by powers of the ideal generated by all x_{in}. There is a compatible filtration of S₂.

•
$$\operatorname{gr}_{0}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{2}; \operatorname{gr}_{1}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{0}^{-4} \vee \widehat{S}_{1}^{-2}; \operatorname{gr}_{2}(S_{2}) = \widehat{S}_{0}^{-5} \vee \widehat{S}_{0}^{-4}$$

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \to \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$

There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}^{\prime} = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}.$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \cdots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$

There is a fibration
$$S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$$
.
Put

$$z_{ij}^{\prime} = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}.$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}^{\prime} = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{\times_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1) \colon S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

Then z_u is related to the composite

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}$$

► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 - 2(u_j - i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.

The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.

- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

Then z_u is related to the composite

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}$$

The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,u_j} of filtration 1 and degree $1 - 2(u_j - i)$ for $u_{j-1} < i < u_j$.

The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.

- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \cdots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

Then z_u is related to the composite

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}$$

- The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,u_j} of filtration 1 and degree $1 2(u_j i)$ for $u_{j-1} < i < u_j$.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \cdots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

Then z_u is related to the composite

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}.$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- ▶ There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(−,−); not yet understood.

The associated graded object $gr_*(S_n)$ is conjecturally as follows:

- For any sequence $u = (u_0 < u_1 < \cdots < u_r = n)$ we have $z_u: \hat{S}_{u_0}^{2(u_0-n)} \rightarrow \operatorname{gr}_r(S_n).$
- There is a fibration $S_n \to S_{n-1} \lor \hat{S}_n \to \alpha_n(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} S_n^1$. Put

$$z_{ij}' = \Sigma^{2j-1}(S_i^{1-2(j-i)} \xrightarrow{x_{ij}} \alpha_j(S) \xrightarrow{\delta_j} S_j^1): S_i^{2i} \to S_j^{2j}.$$

Then z_u is related to the composite

$$S_{u_0}^{2u_0} \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_1}^{2u_1} \xrightarrow{z'} \cdots \xrightarrow{z'} S_{u_r}^{2u_r} = S_n^{2n}.$$

- ► The element z_u can be multiplied by variables x_{i,uj} of filtration 1 and degree 1 2(u_j i) for u_{j-1} < i < u_j.
- The resulting products form a "basis" for $gr_*(S_n)$.
- From this we can obtain spectral sequences converging to invariants of S_n, or adjusted spectral sequences converging to 0.
- ▶ There is shared combinatorics with the calculation of F(-, -); not yet understood.

Euler characteristics

- Put $\chi_n(X) = \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{even}(X)) \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{odd}(X))$ (assuming that the dimensions are finite).
- For the X that we have considered: $\chi_n(X)$ is probably 0, occasionally 1.
- Sometimes this is known unconditionally, sometimes it relies on the CSC.
- Some aspects of the previous story can be checked for consistency using these invariants. Often we just get 0 = 0 which is not very impressive, but in a few cases there are interesting patterns of cancellation.
- Put $\chi_n(X) = \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{even}(X)) \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{odd}(X))$ (assuming that the dimensions are finite).
- For the X that we have considered: $\chi_n(X)$ is probably 0, occasionally 1.
- Sometimes this is known unconditionally, sometimes it relies on the CSC.
- Some aspects of the previous story can be checked for consistency using these invariants. Often we just get 0 = 0 which is not very impressive, but in a few cases there are interesting patterns of cancellation.

Put $\chi_n(X) = \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{even}(X)) - \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{odd}(X))$ (assuming that the dimensions are finite).

For the X that we have considered: $\chi_n(X)$ is probably 0, occasionally 1.

- Sometimes this is known unconditionally, sometimes it relies on the CSC.
- Some aspects of the previous story can be checked for consistency using these invariants. Often we just get 0 = 0 which is not very impressive, but in a few cases there are interesting patterns of cancellation.

- Put $\chi_n(X) = \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{\text{even}}(X)) \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{\text{odd}}(X))$ (assuming that the dimensions are finite).
- For the X that we have considered: $\chi_n(X)$ is probably 0, occasionally 1.
- Sometimes this is known unconditionally, sometimes it relies on the CSC.
- Some aspects of the previous story can be checked for consistency using these invariants. Often we just get 0 = 0 which is not very impressive, but in a few cases there are interesting patterns of cancellation.

- Put $\chi_n(X) = \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{\text{even}}(X)) \dim_{K(n)_*}(K(n)_{\text{odd}}(X))$ (assuming that the dimensions are finite).
- For the X that we have considered: $\chi_n(X)$ is probably 0, occasionally 1.
- Sometimes this is known unconditionally, sometimes it relies on the CSC.
- Some aspects of the previous story can be checked for consistency using these invariants. Often we just get 0 = 0 which is not very impressive, but in a few cases there are interesting patterns of cancellation.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{\leftarrow}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and $L_{K(n)}$ and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{A \in U}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.

- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and $L_{K(n)}$ and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- lt would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

Further questions

- For $U \subseteq P\{0,...,N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{A \in U}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

Further questions

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{\leftarrow}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{\leftarrow}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra
 S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP
 which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- lt would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{\leftarrow}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra
 S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP
 which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about $\phi_A(T(\infty))$ and $\alpha_A(T(\infty))$. The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- lt would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{\leftarrow}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra
 S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP
 which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about φ_A(T(∞)) and α_A(T(∞)). The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n 1, n, n + 1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{A \in U}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra
 S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP
 which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about φ_A(T(∞)) and α_A(T(∞)). The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- ▶ It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.

- For $U \subseteq P\{0, ..., N\}$ closed upwards, put $\theta_U(X) = \underset{A \in U}{\text{holim}} \phi_A(X)$.
- In work with Bellumat we showed that this class of functors contains L_n and L_{K(n)} and is closed under composition and certain homotopy limits.
- We believe that CSC implies a splitting of all θ_U(S), but have not completed this analysis.
- Ravenel has defined ring spectra S = T(0) → T(1) → T(2) → ... → T(∞) = BP which are important for many reasons in chromatic homotopy theory.
- The CSC is about $\phi_A(T(0))$ and $\alpha_A(T(0))$.
- We can compute everything about φ_A(T(∞)) and α_A(T(∞)). The CSC generators x_{in} map to zero here.
- ▶ It would be useful to understand T(n) for intermediate *n*, especially $\alpha_k(T(n))$ for $k \in \{n-1, n, n+1\}$.
- This is also relevant for the Telescope Conjecture.